

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                         | FILING DATE                       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/731,605                              | 12/09/2003                        | David James Dooley   | PC25026A            | 4759             |
| 28880<br>WARNER-I                       | 7590 07/05/2007<br>AMBERT COMPANY | EXAMINER             |                     | INER             |
| 2800 PLYMOUTH RD<br>ANN ARBOR, MI 48105 |                                   |                      | ROYDS, LESLIE A     |                  |
|   |                                   |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                                   |                      | 1614                |                  |
|   |                                   |                      | •                   |                  |
|   |                                   |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   | •                                 |                      | 07/05/2007          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
|  | 10/731,605   | DOOLEY ET AL.   |  |  |  |
| Notice of Abandonment  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|  | Leslie A. Royds  | 1614  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app   | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address   |  |  |  |
| This application is abandoned in view of:  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office     (a)    A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply was received on, but it does | failing or Transmission dated<br>month(s)) which expired on _  | ), which is after the expiration of the   |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |  |  |  |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (   | Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);  |   |  |  |  |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).                                   |  |   |  |  |  |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received.  | , in the second of the second  |   |  |  |  |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8).  |  | the statutory period of three months  |  |  |  |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory position Allowance (PTOL-85).   | s received on (with a Certificateriod for payment of the issue fee (an   | ate of Mailing or Transmission dated and publication fee) set in the Notice of                                |  |  |  |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance   | e of \$ is due.  |   |  |  |  |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$  |  |   |  |  |  |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no   | ot been received.  |   |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ<br>Allowability (PTO-37).   | uired by, and within the three-month   | period set in, the Notice of  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on</li> <li>after the expiration of the period for reply.</li> </ul>  | _ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Trar   | nsmission dated), which is  |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.  | e attorney or agent of record, the ass   | ignee of the entire interest, or all of   |  |  |  |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by ar 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.   | attorney or agent (acting in a repres  | sentative capacity under 37 CFR   |  |  |  |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair   |  | se the period for seeking court review  |  |  |  |
| 7. ☑ The reason(s) below:  |  |   |  |  |  |
| In a telephone interview 27 June 2007, Applicant's case and that the case has been abandoned in favo Summary.  ARDII SUPERVISO   | representative confirmed that a representative confirmed that a representation application. Find the second of the | esponse has not been filed in the Please see attached Interview  Leslie A-Royds Patent Examiner Art Unit 1614 |  |  |  |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra   | aw the holding of abandonment under 37   | ()  |  |  |  |
| minimize any negative effects on patent term.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  |  | _   |  |  |  |
|  | of Abandonment   | Part of Paper No. 20070627  |  |  |  |